

1  
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

4 DAINE ANTON CRAWLEY,

5 Petitioner,

6 v.

7 NETHANJAH BREITENBACH, *et al.*,

8 Respondents.  
9

Case No. 3:24-cv-00252-ART-CLB

ORDER

10 In this habeas corpus action, after a 90-day initial period and a 90-day  
11 extension, the petitioner, Daine Anton Crawley, represented by appointed  
12 counsel, was due to file an amended habeas petition by March 24, 2025. (See  
13 ECF Nos. 9, 13.) On March 24, Crawley filed a motion for extension of time (ECF  
14 No. 14), requesting a further 60-day extension, to May 23, 2025. Crawley's  
15 counsel states that the extension is necessary because of the time it is taking to  
16 meet with Crawley and investigate the case. Crawley's counsel represents that  
17 Respondents do not oppose the extension of time. The Court finds that the  
18 motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose  
19 of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time.

20 Nothing in this order, or in any order extending time for an amended  
21 petition, is meant to affect in any manner the operation of any statute of  
22 limitations.

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 It is therefore ordered that Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time (ECF  
2 No. 14) is granted. Petitioner will have until and including May 23, 2025, to file  
3 an amended petition for writ of habeas corpus. In all other respects, the schedule  
4 for further proceedings set forth in the scheduling order entered September 26,  
5 2024 (ECF No. 9) will remain in effect.

6  
7 Dated this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of April 2025.

8  
9 

10 ANNE R. TRAUM  
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28